

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/005,953	11/02/2001	Roy W. Mattson JR.	RM449b	2927	
23996	7590 06/25/2002				
RICK MAR	:	EXAMINER			
416 COFFMA	· -	FETSUGA, ROBERT M			
LONGMONT, CO 80501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3751			
			DATE MAILED: 06/25/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/005,953 Applicant(s)

Mattson, Jr. et al.

Examiner

Robert M. Fetsuga

Art Unit 3751

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 2, 20	01		·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	ion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-63</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-63</u>	are s	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepted	or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held	in abey	vance, See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a)□ a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) [] All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:					
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	•			
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	in App	lication No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copie	s not re	ceived.		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	_		-413) Paper No(s)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
3) Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/005,953

Art Unit: 3751

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 10-18, 38-46 and 53-61, drawn to a whirlpool bath, classified in class 4, subclass 541.1.
- II. Claims 1-9, 19-37, 47-52 and 63, drawn to a water filter, classified in class 210, subclass 169.
- III. Claim 62, drawn to a suction inlet, classified in class 4, subclass 504.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II, III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the structure relied upon for patentability in claims 1, 19, 21, 47, 62 and 63 is not relied upon in claims 10, 38 and 53. The subcombinations have separate utility such as in a swimming pool.

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to

Application/Control Number: 10/005,953

Art Unit: 3751

be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as in a swimming pool. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Figs. 2-4 and 6-10;

Species II: Fig. 5;

Species III: Fig. 14;

Species IV: Figs. 15-23; and

Species V: Figs. 24 and 25.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are considered to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/005,953 Page 4

Art Unit: 3751

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

3. Upon an election of Species I-V as defined above, a further election of one of the following sub-species is required consonant with the rules set forth supra:

Sub-species A: Fig. 10;

Sub-species B: Figs. 11 and 12; and,

Sub-species C: 13.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number (703) 308-1506 who is most easily reached Tuesday through Thursday.

ROBERT M. FETSUGA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3751

rmf
June 25, 2002